

PROGRAM FOR ENCOURAGING RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES IN THE FIELD OF CLIMATE CHANGE
2nd Round Evaluation Criteria (B)
Peer Review

Declaration of previous cooperation or acquaintance with the applicant:

Please state if you know the applicant personally or if you have previously encountered the applicant's work, cited his papers or already assessed his work.

Please grade the following criteria by using grades from 1 to 5:

- 1- Poor**
- 2- Fair**
- 3- Average**
- 4- Very good**
- 5- Excellent**

Note: Please use whole/integer numbers only. Decimal numbers (4.2., 3.5, etc.) are not allowed.

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY AND RESEARCH RELEVANCE	Grade (1-5)
What is the importance of the proposed topic, i. e. <i>importance of the research question</i> , in relation to the entire research area?	1-5
What is the quality and innovativeness of the research plan? To what extent is this project proposal competitive in relation to existing research on the topic?	1-5
What is the potential of direct or indirect project results applicability in economic sector or usage in creating public policies related to climate change problems or for publication in top journals (in the corresponding scientific area according to relevant scientific databases), books and manuals publication or the possibility of patenting?	1-5
<i>Please describe the scientific quality and research relevance briefly.</i>	
<i>Total</i>	
PROJECT PROPOSAL FEASIBILITY	
How do you assess the feasibility and soundness of project proposal's work and financial plan? (While assessing, please take into consideration the planned time, goals and results as well as the available resources.) To what extent are the planned goals, milestones and deliverables realistic? Does the project proposal specify all potential risks and ways of dealing with them?	1-5
How do you assess the number of team members with regard to the work	1-5

PROGRAM FOR ENCOURAGING RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES IN THE FIELD OF CLIMATE CHANGE
2nd Round Evaluation Criteria (B)
Peer Review

planned? Is the estimated number of team members too big, too small or optimal?	
How do you assess the compliance of scientific qualifications of team members with the planned work?	1-5
To what extent is the proposed methodology appropriate and up-to-date?	1-5
<i>Please describe the project proposal quality and relevance briefly.</i>	
<i>Total</i>	

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR'S QUALITY	Grade (1-5)
What is the total scientific and professional contribution of the Principal Investigator in the research area?	1-5
What is the production of the Principal Investigator in the last 5 years in the context of publishing papers in quality journals? Does the Principal Investigator have several publications in leading international journals in the area of research or books and studies in which he is the lead or corresponding author in the observed scientific area according to relevant scientific databases or registered patent(s)?	1-5
How do you assess Principal Investigator's research group management competencies (on the basis of previous mentorships and quality of work published with the existing research group)?	1-5
<i>Please describe the Principal Investigator's scientific quality briefly.</i>	
<i>Total</i>	

<p>PROJECT PROPOSAL'S MAIN STRENGTHS <i>(Please describe briefly using a minimum of 50 words.)</i></p>
<p>PROJECT PROPOSAL'S MAIN WEAKNESSES <i>(Please describe briefly using a minimum of 50 words.)</i></p>

Recommendations for project proposal funding (please choose one of the following options):

A - I propose the funding of the project proposal in this form. (40 points and more)

**PROGRAM FOR ENCOURAGING RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES IN
THE FIELD OF CLIMATE CHANGE
2nd Round Evaluation Criteria (B)
Peer Review**

B - I propose the funding of the project proposal with minor finishing. (35 points and more)

C - Project proposal requires significant changes. I do not propose the funding of the project proposal in this form.